<u>Special Values of</u> <u>Load Impedance</u>

Let's look at some **specific** values of load impedance $Z_L = R_L + jX_L$ and see what happens on our transmission line!

1.
$$Z_{L} = Z_{0}$$

In this case, the load impedance is numerically equal to the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. Assuming the line is lossless, then Z_0 is real, and thus:

$$R_L = Z_0$$
 and $X_L = 0$

It is evident that the resulting load reflection coefficient is **zero**:

$$\Gamma_{L} = \frac{Z_{L} - Z_{0}}{Z_{L} + Z_{0}} = \frac{Z_{0} - Z_{0}}{Z_{0} + Z_{0}} = 0$$

This result is very interesting, as it means that there is no reflected wave $V^{-}(z)$!

$$\mathcal{V}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \left(\boldsymbol{e}^{-2j\beta z_{L}} \Gamma_{L} \boldsymbol{V}_{0}^{+}\right) \boldsymbol{e}^{+j\beta z}$$
$$= \left(\boldsymbol{e}^{-2j\beta z_{L}} \left(\boldsymbol{0}\right) \boldsymbol{V}_{0}^{+}\right) \boldsymbol{e}^{+j\beta z}$$

= 0

Thus, the **total** voltage and current along the transmission line is simply voltage and current of the **incident** wave:

$$V(z) = V^+(z) = V_0^+ e^{-j\beta z}$$

$$I(z) = I^+(z) = \frac{V_0^+}{Z_0} e^{-j\beta z}$$

Meaning that the line impedance is likewise numerically equal to the characteristic impedance of the transmission line for all line position z:

$$Z(z) = \frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = Z_0 \frac{V_0^+ e^{-j\beta z}}{V_0^+ e^{-j\beta z}} = Z_0$$

And likewise, the reflection coefficient is **zero** at **all** points along the line:

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{V^{-}(z)}{V^{+}(z)} = \frac{0}{V^{+}(z)} = 0$$

We call this condition (when $Z_L = Z_0$) the **matched** condition, and the load $Z_L = Z_0$ a **matched load**.

2.
$$Z_{L} = 0$$

A device with no impedance is called a short circuit! I.E.:

 $R_L = 0$ and $X_L = 0$

Jim Stiles

In this case, the **voltage** across the load—and thus the voltage at the end of the transmission line—is **zero**:

$$V_L = Z_L I_L = 0$$
 and $V(z = z_L) = 0$

Note that this does **not** mean that the **current** is zero!

$$I_{L}=I\left(z=z_{L}\right)\neq0$$

For a **short**, the resulting load reflection coefficient is therefore:

$$\Gamma_{L} = \frac{Z_{L} - Z_{0}}{Z_{L} + Z_{0}} = \frac{0 - Z_{0}}{0 + Z_{0}} = -1$$

Meaning (assuming $z_{L} = 0$):

$$V_0^{-} = -V_0^{+}$$

As a result, the total **voltage** and **current** along the transmission line is simply:

$$\mathcal{V}(z) = \mathcal{V}_0^+ \left(e^{-j\beta z} - e^{+j\beta z} \right) = -j2\mathcal{V}_0^+ \sin(\beta z)$$

$$I(z) = \frac{V_{0}^{+}}{Z_{0}} \left(e^{-j\beta z} + e^{+j\beta z} \right) = \frac{2V_{0}^{+}}{Z_{0}} \cos(\beta z)$$

Meaning that the line impedance can likewise be written in terms of a trigonometric function:

$$Z(z) = \frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = -jZ_0 \tan(\beta z)$$

Note that this impedance is **purely reactive**. This means that the current and voltage on the transmission line will be everywhere 90° **out of phase**.

Hopefully, this was likewise apparent to you when you observed the expressions for $\mathcal{N}(z)$ and $\mathcal{I}(z)$!

Note at the **end** of the line (i.e., $z = z_L = 0$), we find that

$$V(z=0) = -j2V_0^+ \sin(0) = 0$$

$$I(z=0) = \frac{2V_{0}^{+}}{Z_{0}}\cos(0) = \frac{2V_{0}^{+}}{Z_{0}}$$

As expected, the voltage is **zero** at the end of the transmission line (i.e. the voltage across the **short**). Likewise, the **current** at the end of the line (i.e., the current through the short) is at a **maximum**!

Finally, we note that the **line** impedance at the **end** of the transmission line is:

$$Z(z=0)=-jZ_{0} tan(0)=0$$

Just as we expected—a short circuit!

Finally, the reflection coefficient **function** is (assuming $z_L = 0$):

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{V^{-}(z)}{V^{+}(z)} = \frac{-V_{0}^{+}e^{+j\beta z}}{V_{0}^{+}e^{-j\beta z}} = -e^{j\beta z}$$

Note that for **this** case $|\Gamma(z)| = 1$, meaning that:

$$|\mathcal{V}^{-}(z)| = |\mathcal{V}^{+}(z)|$$

In other words, the **magnitude** of each **wave** on the transmission line is the **same**—the reflected wave is **just** as big as the incident wave!

3.
$$Z_L = \infty$$

A device with **infinite** impedance is called an **open** circuit! I.E.:

$$R_L = \infty$$
 and/or $X_L = \pm \infty$

In this case, the **current** through the load—and thus the current at the end of the transmission line—is **zero**:

$$I_L = \frac{V_L}{Z_L} = 0$$
 and $I(z = z_L) = 0$

Note that this does not mean that the voltage is zero!

1/

$$V_L = V(z = z_L) \neq 0$$

For an open, the resulting load reflection coefficient is:

$$\Gamma_{L} = \lim_{Z_{L} \to \infty} \frac{Z_{L} - Z_{0}}{Z_{L} + Z_{0}} = \lim_{Z_{L} \to \infty} \frac{Z_{L}}{Z_{L}} = 1$$

Meaning (assuming $z_L = 0$):

$$V_0^- = V_0^+$$

As a result, the **total** voltage and current along the transmission line is simply (assuming $z_L = 0$):

$$V(z) = V_0^+ \left(e^{-j\beta z} + e^{+j\beta z} \right) = 2V_0^+ \cos(\beta z)$$

$$I(z) = \frac{V_{0}^{+}}{Z_{0}} \left(e^{-j\beta z} - e^{+j\beta z} \right) = -j \frac{2V_{0}^{+}}{Z_{0}} \sin(\beta z)$$

Meaning that the **line impedance** can likewise be written in terms of trigonometric function:

$$Z(z) = \frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = jZ_0 \cot(\beta z)$$

Again note that this impedance is purely reactive—V(z) and I(z) are again 90° out of phase!

Note at the end of the line (i.e., $z = z_L = 0$), we find that

$$V(z=0) = 2V_0^+ \cos(0) = \frac{2V_0^+}{Z_0}$$

$$I(z=0) = -j \frac{2V_0^+}{Z_0} \sin(0) = 0$$

As expected, the **current is zero** at the end of the transmission line (i.e. the current through the **open**). Likewise, the **voltage** at the end of the line (i.e., the voltage across the open) is at a **maximum**!

Finally, we note that the line impedance at the end of the transmission line is:

$$Z(z=0)=jZ_{0} cot(0)=\infty$$

Just as we expected—an open circuit!

Finally, the reflection coefficient is (assuming $z_{L} = 0$):

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{V}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z})}{\boldsymbol{V}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z})} = \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_{0}^{+}\boldsymbol{e}^{+j\beta\boldsymbol{z}}}{\boldsymbol{V}_{0}^{+}\boldsymbol{e}^{-j\beta\boldsymbol{z}}} = \boldsymbol{e}^{+j2\beta\boldsymbol{z}}$$

Note that likewise for this case $|\Gamma(z)| = 1$, meaning again that:

$$\left|\mathcal{V}^{-}(z)\right|=\left|\mathcal{V}^{+}(z)\right|$$

In other words, the **magnitude** of each **wave** on the transmission line is the **same**—the reflected wave is **just** as big as the incident wave!

4. $R_{L} = 0$

For this case, the load impedance is **purely reactive** (e.g. a capacitor of inductor):

 $Z_L = j X_L$

Thus, **both** the current through the load, and voltage across the load, are **non-zero**:

$$I_L = I(z = z_L) \neq 0$$
 $V_L = V(z = z_L) \neq 0$

The resulting load reflection coefficient is:

$$\Gamma_{L} = \frac{Z_{L} - Z_{0}}{Z_{L} + Z_{0}} = \frac{jX_{L} - Z_{0}}{jX_{L} + Z_{0}}$$

Given that Z_0 is real (i.e., the line is **lossless**), we find that this load reflection coefficient is generally some **complex** number.

We can rewrite this value explicitly in terms of its **real** and **imaginary** part as:

$$\Gamma_{L} = \frac{jX_{L} - Z_{0}}{jX_{L} + Z_{0}} = \left(\frac{X_{L}^{2} - Z_{0}^{2}}{X_{L}^{2} + Z_{0}^{2}}\right) + j\left(\frac{2Z_{0}X_{L}}{X_{L}^{2} + Z_{0}^{2}}\right)$$

Yuck! This isn't much help!

Let's instead write this complex value Γ_{L} in terms of its **magnitude** and **phase**. For **magnitude** we find a much more straightforward result!

$$\left|\Gamma_{L}\right|^{2} = \frac{\left|jX_{L} - Z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|jX_{L} + Z_{0}\right|^{2}} = \frac{X_{L}^{2} + Z_{0}^{2}}{X_{L}^{2} + Z_{0}^{2}} = 1$$

Its magnitude is **one**! Thus, we find that for reactive loads, the reflection coefficient can be simply expressed as:

where

$$\theta_{\Gamma} = tan^{-1} \left[\frac{2 Z_0 X_L}{X_L^2 - Z_0^2} \right]$$

 $\Gamma_L = \boldsymbol{e}^{j\theta_{\Gamma}}$

We can therefore conclude that for a **reactive load**:

$$V_0^- = e^{j\theta_\Gamma} V_0^+$$

As a result, the **total** voltage and current along the transmission line is simply (assuming $z_{L} = 0$):

$$V(z) = V_0^+ \left(e^{-j\beta z} + e^{+j\theta_L} e^{+j\beta z} \right)$$
$$= V_0^+ e^{+j\theta_{\Gamma}/2} \left(e^{-j(\beta z + \theta_{\Gamma}/2)} + e^{+j(\beta z + \theta_{\Gamma}/2)} \right)$$
$$= 2V_0^+ e^{+j\theta_{\Gamma}/2} \cos\left(\beta z + \theta_{\Gamma}/2\right)$$

Jim Stiles

$$I(z) = \frac{V_0^+}{Z_0} \left(e^{-j\beta z} - e^{+j\beta z} \right)$$
$$= \frac{V_0^+}{Z_0} e^{+j\theta_L/2} \left(e^{-j(\beta z + \theta_L/2)} - e^{+j(\beta z + \theta_L/2)} \right)$$
$$= -j \frac{2V_0^+}{Z_0} e^{+j\theta_L/2} \sin(\beta z + \theta_L/2)$$

Meaning that the line impedance can again be written in terms of trigonometric function:

$$Z(z) = \frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = jZ_0 \cot(\beta z + \theta_{\Gamma}/2)$$

Again note that this impedance is **purely reactive**—V(z) and I(z) are once again 90° out of phase!

Note at the **end** of the line (i.e., $z = z_L = 0$), we find that

$$V(z=0) = 2V_0^+ \cos(\theta_{\Gamma}/2)$$

$$I(z=0) = -j \frac{2V_0^+}{Z_0} \sin(\theta_{\Gamma}/2)$$

As expected, **neither** the current **nor** voltage at the end of the line are zero.

We also note that the line impedance at the end of the transmission line is:

$$Z(z=0)=jZ_{0} \cot(\theta_{\Gamma}/2)$$

With a little trigonometry, we can show (trust me!) that:

$$cot(\theta_{\Gamma}/2) = \frac{X_{L}}{Z_{c}}$$

and therefore:

$$Z(z=0) = jZ_0 \operatorname{cot}(\theta_{\Gamma}/2) = j X_L = Z_L$$

Just as we **expected**!

Finally, the reflection coefficient **function** is (assuming $z_L = 0$):

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{V}^{-}(\boldsymbol{z})}{\boldsymbol{V}^{+}(\boldsymbol{z})} = \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_{0}^{+}\boldsymbol{e}^{+j\theta_{\Gamma}}\boldsymbol{e}^{+j\beta\boldsymbol{z}}}{\boldsymbol{V}_{0}^{+}\boldsymbol{e}^{-j\beta\boldsymbol{z}}} = \boldsymbol{e}^{+j2(\beta\boldsymbol{z}+\theta_{\Gamma}/2)}$$

Note that likewise for this case $|\Gamma(z)| = 1$, meaning once again:

$$\left| \mathcal{V}^{-}(z) \right| = \left| \mathcal{V}^{+}(z) \right|$$

In other words, the **magnitude** of each **wave** on the transmission line is the **same**—the reflected wave is **just** as big as the incident wave!

Q: Gee, a **reactive** load leads to results very **similar** to that of an **open** or **short** circuit. Is this just **coincidence**?

A:

Specifically, for an **open**, we find $\theta_{\Gamma} = 0$, so that:

 $\Gamma_L = \boldsymbol{e}^{j\theta_{\Gamma}} = \mathbf{1}$

Likewise, for a **short**, we find that $\theta_{\Gamma} = \pi$, so that:

 $\Gamma_{I} = e^{j\theta_{\Gamma}} = -1$

5.
$$X_L = 0$$

For this case, the load impedance is purely real (e.g. a resistor):

$$Z_L = R_L$$

Thus, both the current through the load, and voltage across the load, are non-zero:

$$I_{L} = I(z = z_{L}) \neq 0 \qquad \qquad V_{L} = V(z = z_{L}) \neq 0$$

The resulting load reflection coefficient is:

$$\Gamma_{L} = \frac{Z_{L} - Z_{0}}{Z_{L} + Z_{0}} = \frac{R - Z_{0}}{R + Z_{0}}$$

Given that Z_0 is real (i.e., the line is **lossless**), we find that this load reflection coefficient must be a purely real value! In other words:

$$Re\left\{\Gamma_{L}\right\} = \frac{R - Z_{0}}{R + Z_{0}} \qquad \text{Im}\left\{\Gamma_{L}\right\} = 0$$

The magnitude is thus:

$$\left|\Gamma_{L}\right| = \frac{R - Z_{0}}{R + Z_{0}}$$

whereas the phase $heta_{\Gamma}$ can take on one of two values:

$$\theta_{\Gamma} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad \operatorname{Re}\{\Gamma_{L}\} > 0 \quad \text{(i.e., if } \mathsf{R}_{L} > Z_{0} \text{)} \\ \\ \pi & if \quad \operatorname{Re}\{\Gamma_{L}\} < 0 \quad \text{(i.e., if } \mathsf{R}_{L} < Z_{0} \text{)} \end{cases}$$

For this case, the impedance at the **end** of the line must be **real** ($Z(z = z_L) = R_L$). Thus, the current and the voltage at this point are precisely **in phase**.

However, even though the load impedance is real, the line impedance at all other points on the line is generally complex!

Moreover, the **general** current and voltage expressions, as well as reflection coefficient function, **cannot** be further simplified for the case where $Z_L = R_L$. **Q:** Why is that? When the load was purely **imaginary** (reactive), we where able to **simply** our general expressions, and likewise deduce all sorts of interesting results!

A: True! And here's why. Remember, a lossless transmission line has series inductance and shunt capacitance only. In other words, a length of lossless transmission line is a purely reactive device (it absorbs no energy!).

* If we attach a **purely reactive** load at the end of the transmission line, we still have a **completely** reactive system (load and transmission line). Because this system has **no** resistive (i.e., real) component, the general expressions for line impedance, line voltage, etc. can be significantly **simplified**.

* However, if we attach a **purely real** load to our reactive transmission line, we now have a **complex** system, with **both** real and imaginary (i.e., resistive and reactive) components. This **complex** case is exactly what our general expressions **already** describes—**no** further simplification is possible!

$$5. \quad Z_L = R_L + jX_L$$

Now, let's look at the **general** case, where the **load** has both a **real** (resitive) and **imaginary** (reactive) component.

Q: Haven't we **already** determined all the **general** expressions (e.g., Γ_L , V(z), I(z), Z(z), $\Gamma(z)$) for this general case? Is there **anything** else left to be determined?

A: There is **one** last thing we need to discuss. It seems trivial, but its ramifications are **very** important!

For you see, the "general" case is **not**, in reality, quite so general. Although the reactive component of the load can be **either** positive or negative $(-\infty < X_L < \infty)$, the resistive component of a passive load **must** be positive $(R_L > 0)$ —there's **no** such thing as **negative** resistor!

This leads to one very important and useful result. Consider the load reflection coefficient:

$$L = \frac{Z_{L} - Z_{0}}{Z_{L} + Z_{0}}$$
$$= \frac{(R_{L} + jX_{L}) - Z_{0}}{(R_{L} + jX_{L}) + Z_{0}}$$
$$= \frac{(R_{L} - Z_{0}) + jX_{L}}{(R_{L} + Z_{0}) + jX_{L}}$$

Now let's look at the **magnitude** of this value:

Г



It is apparent that since both R_{L} and Z_{0} are **positive**, the **numerator** of the above expression must be **less** than (or equal to) the **denominator** of the above expression.

→ In other words, the magnitude of the load reflection coefficient is always less than or equal to one!

$$|\Gamma_L| \leq 1$$
 (for $R_L \geq 0$)

Moreover, we find that this means the reflection coefficient **function** likewise always has a magnitude **less** than or equal to one, for **all** values of position *z*.

 $|\Gamma(z)| \le 1$ (for all z)

Which means, of course, that the **reflected** wave will always have a magnitude less than that of the incident wave magnitude: $|\mathcal{V}^{-}(z)| \leq |\mathcal{V}^{+}(z)|$ (for all z) We will find out later that this result is consistent with conservation of energy—the reflected wave from a passive load cannot be larger than the wave incident on it. The Univ. of Kansas Jim Stiles Dept. of EECS