

# Decidability in Universal Algebra

Matthew Moore

McMaster University

September 27, 2016

# Decidability in universal algebra

1 Introduction

2 Minsky machines

3 Results

4  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$

5 Conclusion

The following are known to be undecidable:

- 1 Whether  $A$  has a finite residual bound [McKenzie].
- 2 Whether  $\mathbb{A}$  has a finite equational base [McKenzie; Willard].
- 3 Whether  $\mathbb{A}$  has definable principal subcongruences [M].
- 4 Whether  $\mathbb{A}$  has an NU term on  $A - \{p, q\}$  [Maroti].
- 5 Whether  $\text{typ}(\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{A}))$  contains  $i$  for  $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$  [Wood, McKenzie]

The following are **conjectured** to be undecidable for finite  $\mathbb{A}$ :

- 1 Whether  $\mathbb{A}$  is finitely related.
- 2 Whether  $\mathbb{A}$  is naturally dualizable.
- 3 + **many** more problems from clone theory.

If  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{A})\dots$

- is congruence distributive, then we can decide 1 and 2.
- is congruence modular, then we can decide 1 and (sort of) 2.
- is congruence SD(meet), we have no strong results.
- has a compatible semilattice term, then we can decide both ('yes').

# Decidability in universal algebra

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Minsky machines**
- 3 Results
- 4  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$
- 5 Conclusion

The Minsky machine is a simple model of computation that is equivalent to Turing machine computation.

A Minsky machine has

- states  $0, 1, \dots, N$  (state 1 is starting state, 0 is halting),
- registers  $A$  and  $B$  that have integer values  $\geq 0$ ,
- instructions of the form  $(i, R, j)$ , meaning “in state  $i$ , increase register  $R$  by 1 and enter  $j$ ”.
- instructions of the form  $(i, R, j, k)$ , meaning “in state  $i$ , if  $R$  is 0 enter  $j$ , otherwise decrease  $R$  by 1 and enter  $k$ ”.

# A Minsky machine

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  have instructions

$(1, B, 3, 2), \quad (2, A, 1), \quad (3, A, 4), \quad (4, A, 0).$

Start the machine with register contents  $A = 4, B = 3.$

**(do example at the board)**

$\mathcal{M}$  computes  $A + B + 2$  and stores the result in  $A.$

Minsky machines are simpler than Turing machines:

- no tape,
- no machine head,
- instructions are more condensed.

# Decidability in universal algebra

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Minsky machines
- 3 Results**
- 4  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$
- 5 Conclusion



## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be an algebra,  $a \in A$ , and  $\mathbb{R} \leq \mathbb{A}^n$ . Define

$$R_a = \{r \in R \mid \forall i r(i) \neq a\}.$$

This is said “ $\mathbb{R}$  sans  $a$ ”.

For  $\mathbb{S} \leq \mathbb{A}^n$ , if

$$R_a = S_a.$$

then we write  $\mathbb{R} =_a \mathbb{S}$  and say “ $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{S}$  are equal sans  $a$ ”.

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be an algebra and  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Rel}(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\mathbb{R} \in \text{Rel}_n(\mathbb{A})$ .

- $f : A^n \rightarrow A$  sans  $a$  preserves  $\mathbb{R}$  if

$$\forall (r_1, \dots, r_n \in R) \exists (S =_a \mathbb{R}) f(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in S.$$

- $\mathcal{C} \vdash^a \mathbb{R}$  if any function which sans  $a$  preserves  $\mathcal{C}$  also sans  $a$  preserves  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $\mathcal{C} \vdash_d^a \mathbb{R}$  if for all  $X \subseteq A^m$  and all  $f : X \rightarrow A$ , if  $f$  preserves  $\mathcal{C}$  sans  $a$  then  $f$  preserves  $\mathbb{R}$  sans  $a$ .

## Definition

- $\mathbb{A}$  is finitely related sans  $a$  if  $\exists n$  such that  $\text{Rel}_n(\mathbb{A}) \vdash^a \text{Rel}(\mathbb{A})$ .
- $\mathbb{A}$  is naturally dualizable sans  $a$  if  $\exists n$  such that  $\text{Rel}_n(\mathbb{A}) \vdash_d^a \text{Rel}(\mathbb{A})$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for a Minsky machine  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

- 1  $\mathcal{M}$  halts.
- 2  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$  is finitely related sans  $(\times, \times, \times)$ .
- 3  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$  is naturally dualizable sans  $(\times, \times, \times)$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be an algebra and  $\Gamma, \varphi$  computable functions such that  $\Gamma(n) \subseteq A^{k_n}$ ,  $\varphi(n) \in A^{k_n}$ . The problem*

*Input:*  $\mathbb{A}, \Gamma, \varphi$

*Output:* The truth value of  $\exists n[\varphi(n) \in \text{Sg}^{\mathbb{A}^{k_n}}(\Gamma(n))]$

*is undecidable.*

**Remark:**  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$  is needlessly complicated for proving this last theorem, but we pick it up for free along the way.

# The set $A(\mathcal{M})$

Let

- $\Sigma = \{i \mid i \text{ a state of } \mathcal{M}\} \cup \{\times\}$ ,
- $C = \{0, A, B, 1\}$ ,
- $\kappa = \Sigma \times C$ .

The underlying set of  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$  is  $A(\mathcal{M}) = \kappa^3$ .

## Some operations of $A(\mathcal{M})$

Define helper functions  $\text{comp}, \text{comp}', \text{pass} : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  by

$$\text{comp}(\langle i, c \rangle) = \begin{cases} \langle j, R \rangle & \text{if } (i, R, j) \text{ is an instruction of } \mathcal{M} \text{ and } c = 0, \\ \langle k, 1 \rangle & \text{if } (i, R, j, k) \text{ is an instruction of } \mathcal{M} \text{ and } c = R, \\ \times & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{pass}(\langle i, c \rangle) = \begin{cases} \langle j, c \rangle & \text{if } (i, R, j) \text{ is an instruction of } \mathcal{M}, \\ \langle k, c \rangle & \text{if } (i, R, j, k) \text{ is an instruction of } \mathcal{M}, \\ \times & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{comp}'(\langle i, c \rangle) = \begin{cases} \langle j, 1 \rangle & \text{if } (i, R, j, k) \text{ is an instruction of } \mathcal{M} \text{ and } c = 1, \\ \times & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{pass}'(\langle i, c \rangle) = \begin{cases} \langle j, c \rangle & \text{if } (i, R, j, k) \text{ is an instruction of } \mathcal{M} \text{ and } c \neq R, \\ \times & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{comp}(\times) = \text{pass}(\times) = \text{comp}'(\times) = \text{pass}'(\times) = \times.$$

# Some operations of $A(\mathcal{M})$

Let

$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\times, \times, \times) & \text{if } y = (\times, \times, \times), \\ (\text{comp}(x_1), x_2, x_3) & \text{if } y_1 = x_3 \neq x_2 \text{ and } \text{comp}(x_1) \neq \times, \\ (\text{pass}(x_1), x_2, x_3) & \text{if } y_1 = x_2 \neq x_3, \\ (\times, \times, \times) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$M'(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\times, \times, \times) & \text{if } y = (\times, \times, \times), \\ (\text{comp}'(x_1), x_2, x_3) & \text{if } y_1 = x_3 \neq x_2 \text{ and } \text{comp}'(x_1) \neq \times, \\ (\text{pass}'(x_1), x_2, x_3) & \text{if } y_1 = x_2 \neq x_3, \\ (\times, \times, \times) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**(do example at the board)**

# Decidability in general algebra

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Minsky machines
- 3 Results
- 4  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$
- 5 Conclusion**

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for a Minsky machine  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

- 1  $\mathcal{M}$  halts.
- 2  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$  is finitely related sans  $(\times, \times, \times)$ .
- 3  $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{M})$  is naturally dualizable sans  $(\times, \times, \times)$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be an algebra and  $\Gamma, \varphi$  computable functions such that  $\Gamma(n) \subseteq A^{k_n}$ ,  $\varphi(n) \in A^{k_n}$ . The problem*

*Input:*  $\mathbb{A}, \Gamma, \varphi$

*Output:* The truth value of  $\exists n[\varphi(n) \in \text{Sg}^{\mathbb{A}^{k_n}}(\Gamma(n))]$

*is undecidable.*



Thank you.